

ARCHITECTURE AND ARCHAEOLOGY: THE COMMON MEANING OF PAST AND FUTURE BUILT FORMS

ALESSANDRO CAMIZ

“D’ANNUNZIO” UNIVERSITY OF CHIETI-PESCARA”

“con gli occhi proprij ho ueduto, & con le proprie mani misurato i
fragmenti di molti edificij antichi”

“with my own eyes I have seen, with my own hands
I have measured the fragments of many ancient buildings”

(Andrea Palladio, 1570)

The State of the Art

In the recent debate on the relationship between architecture and archaeology (Capozzi, Fusco, and Visconti 2019) (Mariniello 2016), the prevailing thesis is that contemporary design should take shape within the archaeological site, figuratively affirming its contemporaneity. This assertion characterizes much of recent Italian design experimentation in archaeological contexts (Basso Peressut and Caliarì 2014) (Cellini et al. 2009), but above all, it fuels the controversy that often sees architects standing against conservation organizations, making their project’s life extremely difficult. This contribution questions the necessity of such a figurative affirmation. In an archaeological area, prior to any architectural design, a subtraction design operation

Communication: Alessandro Camiz E-mail: alessandro.camiz@unich.it

FORMA CIVITATIS: International journal of urban and territorial morphological studies (IJUTMS), Vol. 4, N. 1, 2024



Figure 1. Sir Lawrence Alma-Tadema, *The Colosseum*, oil on canvas, 1896.

—the excavation— was carried out, defining a negative stratigraphic unit (Harris 1989). This operation, the archaeological excavation, was introduced only in the Age of Enlightenment; it is therefore a modern invention. The archaeological excavation is a contemporary operation that makes the past visible, attributing value to it. The assertion of identity by contrast of the contemporary design layer, in our opinion, does not consider the negative stratigraphic unity as a prerequisite for the design process itself. The compositional action should therefore take into account, as in any other place, the context and the process underway within it, thus establishing itself as a figurative connection. If the composition's primary subject is the ruin uncovered by the excavation, the design should determine its frame rather than asserting itself as an independent figure, or




Figure 2. Karl Friedrich Schinkel, *Stibadium of Plinius' Villa*, (*Architektonisches Album*, 1842).


even by applying contrast. This thesis could be considered by many conservative and passé, but once the substantial contemporaneity of the archaeological excavation is recognized, it follows that a design that fails to take this into account cannot be contemporary, and is therefore itself conservative and passé.

Archaeological design: tactics and strategy

Although it is not easy to find official statistics, Italy is probably the country with the highest number of archaeological sites. This is supported by the number of sites declared World Heritage Sites by UNESCO: fifty-nine, the highest of any country in the world. Yet despite this well-deserved record, Italy does not seem to excel in archaeological project management. Examples certainly exist, and some of them are of high quality, for example, the Temporary Repository of Archaeological Finds at the Villa dei Quintili (Susanna Ferrini and Antonello Stella, 2002), the Access Pavilion to the Artemision excavations in Ortygia (Vincenzo Latina, 2012), and the ongoing redevelopment project for the Mausoleum of Augustus in Rome (Francesco Cellini, 2006). However, these numbers are certainly few when compared to those of other European countries, such

as Spain. If we were to broaden our analysis to include historical centres, a category of Italian invention (Toppetti and Capuano, 2017), the gap between Italian examples of contemporary architecture in historical centres and those in other European countries becomes even more evident. It's certainly necessary to distinguish between design in a historical urban fabric and design in an archaeological area; in our view, these are profoundly different things, but both suffer from a lack in Italy. In short, the country of historical centres and archaeology is unable to find the tools to design in these contexts. Of course, most will argue, it's the fault of the superintendencies which prevent architects from working as they should, and this is widespread political stance that accounts for what we believe to be a genuine disciplinary shortcoming. While there has been much debate in recent years about which scientific discipline is legitimately qualified to address archaeological design, and extensive experimentation has been conducted within teaching—for example, the nearly twenty-year experience of the Piranesi Prize, the work of the Roma Tre Faculty of Architecture, and the experiments of Raffale Panella and Alessandra Capuano, the methodological question of archaeological design, as we prefer to call it here, has not been seriously addressed within our discipline. While all disciplines are fully legitimated to address archaeological sites, and I am thinking especially of restorers (Carbonara, 1979), the question of design, at least nominally, belongs

Figure 3. Magnesia on the Meander stadium, Aerial Photogrammetric Digital survey, (in collaboration with Görkem Kökdemir and Utku Özdemir, University of Ankara, Department of Archaeology, Magnesia archaeological mission, 2024).



PROCESS: ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE				
TIME	T0	T1	T2	T3
CONSTRUCTION DESTRUCTION				
C1	SITE	CONSTRUCTION (a)	CONSTRUCTION (b)	DESTRUCTION
TIME	T4	T4	T5	T6
BURIAL EXCAVATION				
C2	BURIAL	EXCAVATION	RESTORATION-ANASTYLOSIS	DESIGN

Figure 4. The formation process of an archaeological site should include the restoration project as a premise to the contemporary Design (A. Camiz drawing, 2023).

primarily to the field of design, not to interior design, not to landscape design, but of architectural design. Returning to the polemical opposition that too often sees architects and designers opposing superintendencies, we take the liberty of criticizing this political position that many colleagues often adopt so uncompromisingly, for both tactical and strategic reasons. Tactically, opposing superintendencies harms the architecture profession. If a superintendence halts a project because it deems it appropriate, its role of protection and safeguarding legitimates it to do so. Attacking it will certainly not push that superintendence to reconsider its position in this specific case, nor will it contribute in the slightest to restoring the trust and respect Italians generally have for the architect’s profession. Strategically, however, a serious scientific reflection on the reasons why a project was halted would be far more useful than the usual outcry against the superintendence. Without this type of disciplinary reflection, and increased awareness of the nature of the problem and possible solutions, we will be condemned to remain in this situation for generations to come.

The Client: this unknown

We like to begin our examination with a classic reference: the first page of the architect's manual compiled under the guidance of Ridolfi. It's a questionnaire to be submitted to the client before starting any design project. When working in an archaeological context, the client is usually public, often composed of different and sometimes conflicting institutions. It would therefore be helpful to interview all

of them before any project to understand what they want, what they can accept, and what they absolutely will never accept, assuming that they know what they do want or not. This basic principle could also be extended by the designer, who should try to understand, even if the client isn't aware of it, what the client reasonably expects from the project and what they will never accept. On the one hand, this may also depend on the individual leading the superintendence, but I believe it largely depends on the context itself and the process underway.

In the 1950s, the Italian landscape of archaeological projects was very different from today. The experiments of Minissi (Vivio, 2015) and his collaborators had attracted international attention, and we are primarily interested in understanding the cultural underpinnings of that experience. It is now recognized that the experience of the Central Institute for Restoration and the teachings of Cesare Brandi (Brandi, 1963) were the foundation from which Minissi's projects started. Today, therefore, we must first turn our gaze to that school, with due updating of course, if we truly want to understand how an archaeological project can be undertaken. Along with Brandi's teachings, I believe we must humbly immerse ourselves in archaeology to understand its nature and objectives, to acquire the cultural tools needed to facilitate the necessary dialogue between architects and archaeologists, and above all, to understand the underway process in an archaeological site.

References

- Barosio, M.; Ramello, M.; Trisciuglio, M. (eds.) (2014) *Architecture and Places. Progetto culturale e memoria dei luoghi - Cultural Design and Sites' Memory* (Celid, Turin).
- Basso Peressut, L.; Pierfederico Caliarì, P. (2014) *Architettura per l'archeologia. Museografia e allestimento* (Prospettive Edizioni, Rome).
- Brandi, C. (1963) *Teoria del restauro* (Edizioni di Storia e Letteratura, Rome).
- Camiz, A. (ed.) (2011) *Progettare Castel Madama. Lettura e progetto dei tessuti e del patrimonio archeologico* (Edizioni Kappa, Rome).
- Camiz, A. (2012) 'Lettura e progetto di Via della Lungara', *Architettura e città*, n. 7, pp. 49-54.
- Camiz, A. (2019) Architettura e Archeologia: la composizione conforme dello strato contemporaneo, in A. Calderoni, B. Di Palma, A. Nitti, G. Oliva (eds) *Il Progetto di Architettura come intersezione di saperi. Per una nozione rinnovata di Patrimonio*, (Società Scientifica nazionale dei docenti di Progettazione Architettónica, SSD ICAR 14, 15 e 16, Naples) pp. 342-346.
- Capozzi, R. (2024) 'The Emergence of Ichnoi in Today's City', *Urbanform and design (U+D)*, n. 21, pp. 34-43.
- Capozzi, R.; Fusco, G.; Visconti, G. (2019) *Pausilypon Architettura e paesaggio archeologico* (Aion, Florence)
- Carbonara, G. (1979) *La reintegrazione dell'immagine: problemi di restauro dei monumenti* (Bulzoni, Rome).
- Carpinzano, O.; Capuano, A.; Toppetti, F. (2013) *Il territorio storico dell'Appia nel futuro di Roma* (Quodlibet, Macerata)
- Cellini, F. et al (2009) *Archeologia e progetto: didattica e tesi di laurea nella facoltà di Architettura* (Gangemi editrice, Rome).
- Cellini, F.; Desideri, P.; Franciosini, L.; Pallottino, E.; Porretta, P.; Segarra Lagunes M.M. (eds.) (2016) *Arqueologia y proyecto de arquitectura* (Roma Tre press, Rome).
- Franciosini, L. (2014) *Archeologia e Progetto, paesaggi antichi lungo la via Clodia*, (Gangemi editore, Rome).
- Harris, E.C. (1989) *Principles of archaeological stratigraphy* (Academic Press limited, London)
- Ieva, M. (2003) *Canosa dal territorio al castello. I caratteri di strutturazione territoriale in rapporto al sistema difensivo e alla nascita del castellum* (Mario Adda Editore, Bari).
- Kökdemir, G. (2017) 'Magnesia ad Maeandrum', *Actual Ar-*

chaeology Magazine, 17, pp. 74-81.

Mariniello, A.F. (ed.) (2016) *Beyond Pompeii Archaeology and urban renewal for the Vesuvian cultural and tourist district* (Gangemi, Rome).

Palladio, A. (1570) *I quattro libri dell'architettura* (Domenico de' Francesch, Venice).

Panella, R. (ed) (2013) *Roma la città dei fori. Progetto di sistemazione dell'area archeologica tra piazza Venezia e il Colosseo* (Prospettive Edizioni, Rome).

Rosłon, J.; Nicał, A.; Książek-Nowak, M.; Nowak, P. (2025) Immersive design and new digital competences for the rehabilitation and valorization of the built heritage: Opportunities, threats, risk management, in M. Balzani, F. Maietti, F. Raco (eds) *Heritage at Risk. Improving Resilience and Awareness towards Preservation, Risk Mitigation and Governance Strategies*, (CRC Press/Balkema, Abingdon, Oxon) pp. 301-308.

Strappa, G. (2025) 'Heritages in transformation and the spirit of the Constitution', *Urbanform and design (U+D)*, n. 22/23, pp. 8-9.

Strappa, G. (2024) 'Against the hegemony of the present', *Urbanform and design (U+D)*, n. 21, pp. 8-15.

Toppetti, F.; Capuano, A. (2017) *Roma e l'Appia. Rovine utopia progetto* (Quodlibet, Macerata).

Vivio, B.A. (2015) 'The "narrative sincerity" in museums, architectural and archaeological restoration of Franco Minissi', *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 4, 3, pp. 202-211.

FORMA CIVITATIS

International Journal of Urban and Territorial Morphological Studies

Vol. 4, N. 1, 2024, GREEN OPEN ACCESS: J123-2020-FC; ISSN: 2748-2812 (Print); ISSN: 2748-3134 (Online); ISBN: 9783933713735

Architecture and Archaeology



GRÜNBERGERLAG

FORMA CIVITATIS

International Journal of Urban and
Territorial Morphological Studies

Vol. 4, N. 1, 2024

Architecture and Archaeology

Alessandro Camiz, Martin Ebert, Giorgio Verdiani editors

Grünberg Verlag
Weimar & Rostock, 2024

Cover image: Excavation plan of Nordquartier Malchin, (excavator Martin Schäfer for the town of Malchin, digitalised by Martin Ebert).



FORMA CIVITATIS International Journal of Urban and Territorial Morphological Studies

journal@formacivitatis.com
<http://www.formacivitatis.com/>

Editors: Alessandro Camiz, Giorgio Verdiani, Martin Ebert

Editorial Assistants: Ylenia Ricci, Oreste Lubrano, Nahal Khorrami, Imane Saidi, Özge Özkuvanci.

With the patronage: “D’Annunzio” University of Chieti–Pescara, Italy; Dipartimento di Architettura at Ud’A, Pescara ; Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Norway; University of Florence, Italy



DdA
pescara



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

Layout design: Ludovica Gregori, Deniz Ulukaya, Cemre Uslu
Cover design: Martin Ebert

Publisher: Grünberg Verlag,
Weimar and Rostock
<http://www.grunbergverlag.de/>

GRÜNBERGERLAG

Vol. 4, N. 1, 2024

ISSN: 2748–2812 (print); ISSN: 2748-3134 (online); ISBN: 9783933713735

Green open access



Editorial Board: Orhan Hacıhasanoglu, Karl Kropf, Todor Stojanovski, Tomasz Bradecki, Banu Manav, Anna Agata Kantarek, Attilio Petruccioli, Wowo Ding, Olimpia Niglio, Roberta Spallone, Antonio Pietro Latini, Gjergji Islami, Renato Capozzi, Eva Lovra, Malgorzata Hanzl, Liisa Seppänen, Alper Ünlü, Giovanni Fusco, Burak Asiliskender, Zoran Djukanovic, Matthew Hardy, Wolfgang Horst Borner, Wendy McClure, Benjamin N. Vis, Anna Irene Del Monaco, Raimundo Bambó, Hülya Turgut, Per Elias Cornell, Luca Zavagno, Sofie Kirt Strandbygaard, Ayse Sema Kubat, Acalya Alpan, Xiao Hu, Ana Nikovic, Uwe Schröder, Howard Davis, Özlem Altinkaya Genel, Lutz Presser, Erin J. Campbell, Nadia Charalambous, Eugenio Morello, Valentina Porcheddu, Cosimo Palagiano, Antonio Vito Riondino, Carla Benocci, Richard A. Etlin, Mosè Ricci, Jana Marikova-Kubkova, Mirjana Roter Blagojevic, Richard John, Antonella Romano, Tolga Ünlü, Carlos Dias Coelho, Brenda Case Scheer, Shahin Keynoush, Pierre Gauthier, Vitor A. Oliveira, Juhong Park, Remah Y. Gharib, Maria Carolina Campone, Yasemin Sarıkaya Levent, Lucien Steil, Marco Cadinu, Giorgio Verdiani, Pier Luigi Tucci, Kostantinos Moraitis, Özlem Köprülü Bağbancı, Pablo Rodríguez-Navarro, Francescaromana Stasolla, Hossein Sadri, Carmen L. Guerrero, Senem Zeybekoglu Sadri, Nasser Golzari, Walter Rossa, Jesus Solorzano Telechea, Sophia Psarra, Pietropaolo Cannistraci, Amedeo Feniello, Susanne Komossa, Massimo Angrilli, Scott Singeisen, Francesco Spada, Adam Nadolny, Giancarlo Cataldi, Jaime Correa, Matthew J Bell, Thomas N Howe, Alessandro Guidi, Deni Ruggeri, Rita Occhiuto, Paul Christian Hautecler, Rossano Pazzagli, Carlo Mario Tosco, Eric Firley, Sergio Pratali, Jean-Francois Lejeune.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Vol. 4, N. 1, 2024

EDITORIAL

Architecture and Archaeology: The common Meaning of past and Future built Forms 8

Alessandro Camiz

ARTICLES

Constellation of poleis in the natural space of the Meander Valley 16
Oreste Lubrano

The Small Scale of Things: Emerging Spatial Culture in 19th Century Al Bidda and Doha in Qatar 30

Mark David Major, Hamed Y. Janahi

Tracing Layers of Continuity and Transformation: The Urban Palimpsest of Amida (Diyarbakır) through its City Walls and Spolia Practices 60

Hasan Sercan Sağlam, Funda Arslan

Holy Spirit Hospices in Mecklenburg: Charitable Institutions within the Fabric of Mediaeval Towns 74

Martin Ebert, Fred Ruchhöft, Gudrun Ruchhöft

Dating and knowledge potential of secular stone buildings in medieval Oslo 94

Egil Lindhart Bauer

REPORTS

- Exploring urban morphology in Morocco* 120
Imane Saidi
- Iranian network of urban morphology:
Idea, establishment, and progress* 124
Mayzar Abaee
- First International Workshop on Abruzzo's Historical Heritage* 130
Daniela Ladiana

BOOK REVIEWS

- Paul Niedermaier,
The Genesis of Medieval Towns in Transylvania* 134
Raluca Maria Manoliu
- Ian Morley,
The City Beautiful and the Globalization of Urban Planning* 138
Nahal Khorrami
- Harald Bodenschatz, Victoria Grau, Christiane Post, Max
Welch Guerra (eds.), Urban Planning in Nazi Germany* 144
Martin Ebert

CALL FOR PAPERS

- City Renewal and Urban Archaeology.
Morphological Value of City's Traces* 148
7th ISUFitaly International Conference, Naples
18-20 February 2026

Printed on November 27st, 2024. Grünberg Verlag, Weimar & Rostock.
(MDCC Dies Natalis Urbis Constantinopolitanae)

Forma Civitatis, International Journal of Urban and Territorial Morphological Studies aims to publish yearly scientific researches in English, characterized by the application of innovative and experimental methods. The covered themes range from urban morphology to the history of architecture, to the theory of form, to survey, to architectural design and restoration, without excluding the studies in the discipline of archaeology. The journal adheres to the Open Access philosophy, as part of a general policy of reducing the cultural divide of the contemporary world, it will be freely accessible online, and available in print on demand technology.

FORMA CIVITATIS, International Journal of Urban and Territorial Morphological Studies, Vol. 4, N. 1, 2024, Grünberg Verlag, Weimar & Rostock, GREEN OPEN ACCESS: J123-2020-FC; ISSN 2748-2812 (Print); ISSN 2748-3134 (Online); ISBN: 9783933713735

GRÜNBERGERLAG

