### **Review article**

https://doi.org/10.6065/apem.2040090.045 Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2020;25:217-226



# apem

### Insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes in children

Valeria Castorani, MD Nella Polidori, MD Cosimo Giannini, MD, PhD Annalisa Blasetti, MD Francesco Chiarelli, MD, PhD

Department of Pediatrics, University of Chieti, Chieti, Italy

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is an emerging health risk in obese children and adolescents. Both environmental (lack of physical activity, excess nutritional intake, sedentary lifestyle) and genetic factors contribute to this global epidemic. The growing prevalence of T2D in youth is also associated with a consistently increased incidence of metabolic and cardiovascular complications. Insulin resistance (IR), i.e., whole-body decreased glucose uptake in response to physiological insulin levels, determines impaired glucose homeostasis and it is recognized as cardinal trigger of T2D and cardiovascular disease in both adults and children. In particular, IR and beta-cell dysfunction lead to the persistent hyperglycemia which characterizes T2D. Indeed, both pathological states influence each other and presumably play a crucial, synergistic role in the pathogenesis of T2D, although the precise mechanisms are not completely understood. However, beta-cell dysfunction and IR induce impaired glucose metabolism, thus leading to the progression to T2D. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms correlated with the decline of beta-cell function and IR is crucial in order to control, prevent, and treat T2D in youth. This review focuses on the current knowledge regarding IR and T2D in children and adolescents and showcases interesting opportunities and stimulating challenges for the development of new preventative approaches and therapeutic strategies for young patients with T2D.

Keywords: Insulin resistance, Type 2 diabetes, Obesity, Insulin, Pancreas, Child

#### Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a major health problem that has reached epidemic proportions around the world. It is correlated with several metabolic and cardiovascular complications in both adults and children.<sup>1,2)</sup>

Insulin resistance (IR) has been identified as a cardinal trigger of impaired glucose metabolism, T2D, and cardiovascular diseases.<sup>3,4)</sup> The term 'insulin resistance' refers to a reduced glucose uptake in response to physiological insulin levels. It is a common feature of childhood obesity and is quite detectable in children and adolescents.<sup>5)</sup>

Beta-cell dysfunction and IR are key defects contributing to the development of T2D.<sup>6,7)</sup> Therefore, understanding the mechanisms correlated with the decline of beta-cell function and with IR is crucial for controlling, preventing, and treating T2D, particularly in youth.

The aim of this review is to discuss the current knowledge on IR and T2D, which can provide interesting opportunities and stimulating challenges for the development of new preventative approaches and therapeutic strategies for children and adolescents with T2D.

#### T2D in children

#### 1. Dimension of the problem

Over the past 20 years, there has been an alarming increase of T2D in youth, concomitant

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 2287-1012[Print] ISSN: 2287-1292[Online]

Received: 6 May, 2020 Accepted: 5 June, 2020

#### Address for correspondence:

Francesco Chiarelli, MD, PhD Department of Pediatrics, University of Chieti, Via dei Vestini, 5, I-66100 Chieti, Italy Tel: +39-0871-358015 Fax: +39-0871-574538 E-mail: chiarelli@unich.it https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3724-1495 with the rise of obesity. Indeed, current data indicate an incidence of ~5,000 new cases per year of pediatric T2D in the United States.<sup>8)</sup> The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Institutes of Health performed a population-based observational study of diabetes in youth also demonstrating an increasing prevalence of T2D in United States between 2001 and 2009. In particular, the overall prevalence of T2D in youth was reported as 0.34 per 1,000 in 2001 and 0.46 per 1,000 in 2009, indicating a relevant increase of 35%.<sup>9)</sup> Suggesting a 2.3% annual increase, the SEARCH database showed that in subjects under 20 years of age, the prevalence of T2D will quadruple in 40 years.<sup>10)</sup>

Similar trends have also been reported in many European countries, although there is a significantly lower incidence rate of T2D. In particular, Candler et al.<sup>11)</sup> showed an incidence of T2D of 0.72/100,000 per year in British children and adolescents. Similarly, Schober et al.<sup>12)</sup> described the incidence of T2D at 0.29 cases per 100,000 per year between 1999 and 2007 in Austrian children and adolescents.

In Korea the average crude incidence rate of T2D was reported as 0.75/100,000 from 2001 to 2010, with an increasing trend over the 10-year period.<sup>13)</sup> Similarly, Chinese studies have detected an increased incidence of pediatric diabetes in different geographical areas<sup>14)</sup>; they have also shown a T2D prevalence of 2.52/100,000 in the southwest, 3.77/100,000 in the east and 15.64/100,000 in the north.<sup>15)</sup> Similarly, in New Zealand the incidence of T2D in children younger than 15 years of age has increased gradually at 5% per year over the last 21 years; the overall annual incidence of T2D in children under 15

years of age was detected as 1.5/100,000.<sup>16,17)</sup> In 2006–2008, the incidence of childhood-onset T2D in Canada was assessed at 1.54 cases per 100,000 per year.<sup>18)</sup>

Interestingly, it was also documented that minority races and ethnicities have the highest incidence and prevalence of T2D, probably due to genetics and hormonal factors, environmental influences, different quality of life, and access to health care. The results of a study from UK showed that Black individuals had 3.9 cases of T2D per 100,000 person-years, while South Asian and Caucasian individuals had rates of 1.25 and 0.35 per 100,000 person-years, respectively.<sup>19)</sup> In the U.S. population, Pavkov et al.<sup>20)</sup> described that the highest incidence of T2D was calculated in 4- to 15-year-old Pima Indians (330 per 100,000 person/yr).

Thus, T2D disproportionately involves youth of ethnic and racial minorities, demonstrating substantial differences in insulin secretion and sensitivity that might increase the risk of T2D. However, a complex of psychosocial and cultural environments may also influence the onset of T2D in these ethnic and racial minorities, where it may be difficult to achieve healthy lifestyle modifications and self-management actions.

#### 2. Pathophysiology

The pathophysiology of T2D in children is analogous to, but more complex than, the disease process in adults.<sup>21)</sup> Indeed, many authors suggest that the rate of beta-cell dysfunction occurs more rapidly than in adults.<sup>22)</sup> T2D is a complex and multifactorial disease, characterized by the association between genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. PC1, proprotein convertase 1; POMC, pro-opiomelanocortin; MC4, melanocortin 4; PPAR-γ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma; TCF7L2, transcription factor 7 like 2; IGF2BP2, insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 2; CDKAL1, CDK5 regulatory subunit associated protein 1 like 1; HHEX, hematopoietically expressed homeobox; HNF1A, HNF1 homeobox A; IR, insulin resistance.

Certainly, obesity is considered the most important risk factor for the development of T2D. However, not all obese children develop T2D; indeed, T2D can be diagnosed in children with a relatively lower body mass index percentile compared to their peers. Thus, many other factors are certainly involved in the pathogenesis of  $T2D^{23}$  (Table 1). More than 40 genes may increase the risk of T2D in adults, with the most relevant diabetes susceptibility gene identified as transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2); this gene appears to increase diabetes risk 1.7-fold.<sup>24</sup> Several other studies have also investigated the impact of genetic risk in healthy youth and children with prediabetes and/or T2D. In 2010, Dupuis et al.<sup>25)</sup> performed the MAGIC (Meta-Analyses of Glucose and Insulin-related traits Consortium) study, which described a large-scale meta-analysis of genome-wide data for investigation of genetic correlations with fasting glycemic traits in Caucasian European children. The study showed that the largest effects sizes were detected for risk alleles in Glucokinase (GCK), Glucose-6-Phosphatase Catalytic Subunit 2 (G6PC2) and Melatonin Receptor 1B (MTNR1B). Interestingly, an additional 5 loci (GLIS3, PROX1, SLC2A2, ADCY5, and CRY2) a were also correlated with higher fasting glucose in youth.<sup>26)</sup> Giannini et al.<sup>27)</sup> evaluated a multiethnic cohort of obese children and reported that the co-occurrence of risk alleles in or near 5 genes modulating insulin secretion (TCF7L2 rs7903146, IGF2BP2 rs4402960, CDKAL1 rs7754840, HHEX rs1111875, and HNF1A rs1169288) was associated with a higher risk of prediabetes/T2D in obese children and adolescents. Recently, Cropano et al.<sup>28)</sup> explored the mechanisms by which the TCF7L2 rs7903146 risk allele confers susceptibility to impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) or T2D in obese adolescents. This study showed that this variant can impair beta-cell function and hepatic insulin sensitivity, thus predicting the development of IGT/T2D over time. Therefore, beta-cell dysfunction is a crucial determinant for T2D associated with IR. However, the existing correlation between beta-cell dysfunction and IR remains highly complex.

Table 1. Genetic variants correlated with glycemic traits and/or type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents<sup>23)</sup>

Gene	SNP	Locus
ADCY5	rs11708067	3q21.1
CDKAL1	rs7754840	6p22.3
CRY2	rs11605924	11p11.2
G6PC2	rs560887	2q31.1
GCK	rs4607517	7p13
GLIS3	rs7034200	9p24.2
HHEX	rs1111875	10q23.33
HNF1A	rs1169288	12q24.31
IGF2BP2	rs4402960	3q27.2
MTNR1B	rs10830963	11q14.3
PROX1	rs340874	1q32.3
SLC2A2	rs11920090	3q26.2
SLC30A8	rs13266634	8q24.11
TCF7L2	rs7903146	10q25.2-q25.3
CNID 1 1 1		

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

Adequate glycemic control is regulated by a balance between insulin secretion from the beta-cells and sensitivity to insulin in adipose tissue, skeletal muscle and liver.<sup>29)</sup> However, reduced insulin sensitivity has been documented during puberty and in obese children, and this is correlated with high insulin secretion levels. Nonetheless, when beta-cells are unable to produce sufficient insulin to compensate for IR, alterations in glucose homeostasis occur and progress to prediabetes and T2D as beta-cell function is further reduced.<sup>30)</sup>

Many authors have performed cross-sectional and longitudinal studies in children and adolescents with obesity demonstrating that, as in adults, beta-cell failure with progressive reduction of insulin secretion relative to impaired insulin sensitivity paves the way for prediabetes and T2D in high-risk youth.<sup>31,32)</sup> In particular, many studies have reported that metabolic alterations, such as glucolipotoxicity and consequent production of reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species, could interfere with beta-cell function.<sup>33,34)</sup> The resulting lower adenosine triphosphate synthesis, associated with insufficient antioxidant factors, is also involved in beta-cell failure.

#### 3. Risk factors, screening, and diagnosis

Many risk factors are involved in youth-onset T2D including genetic and epigenetic elements, pubertal stage, first- or second-degree relatives with T2D, low socioeconomic status, and being the offspring of a pregnancy characterized by gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Indeed, during the first decade of life, it is possible to detect metabolic evidence of genetic susceptibility to T2D by documenting compromised insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity in otherwise healthy children with a positive family history.<sup>35)</sup>

However, it is during the pubertal period that T2D can be detected more frequently, when physiologic and transient IR occurs (insulin sensitivity declines by 25%-30%)<sup>36</sup>; therefore, the diagnosis of T2D in youth is usually reported at a mean age of 14 years.<sup>37</sup>

In addition, maternal obesity and GDM seem to play a relevant role in promoting obesity and T2D in offspring. In 2016, Chernausek et al.<sup>38)</sup> reported that maternal diabetes prior to or during pregnancy was correlated with worse glycemic homeostasis and beta-cell dysfunction in children, supporting the hypothesis that fetal exposure to impaired glycemic profiles may have late effects during life. Similarly, Dabelea et al.<sup>39)</sup> reported that youth with T2D were more likely to have been exposed to maternal diabetes or obesity *in utero* than nondiabetic control youngsters. Therefore, prevention strategies may need to target the increasing number of pregnancies characterized by obesity and diabetes in addition to childhood obesity itself, especially as GDM seems to correlate with a younger age of T2D onset.

However, the risk of developing T2D also includes potentially modifiable risk factors such as obesity, lack of physical activity,

excess nutritional intake, and sedentary life.

Indeed, risk-based screening for T2D should include children and adolescents after the onset of puberty or after 10 years of age, whichever occurs earlier, in overweight (body mass index  $[BMI] \ge 85$ th percentile) or obese  $(BMI \ge 95$ th percentile) children and youth that have one or more risk factors for diabetes (Table 2). It has also been reported that increasing BMI could be a predictor of deteriorating glycemia and progression to T2D. Therefore, these youngsters should be more frequently screened for T2D.

Screening with fasting glucose, oral glucose tolerance test

#### Table 2. Criteria for screening for prediabetes/type 2 diabetes in asymptomatic children and adolescents

Risk-based for screening for prediabetes/T2D in asymptomatic children and adolescents

Testing for prediabetes/T2D should be performed in:

- Youth<sup>\*</sup> who have overweight ( $\geq$ 85th percentile) or obesity ( $\geq$ 95th percentile)

- Youth<sup>\*</sup> who have one or more additional risk factors

#### **Risk factors:**

- Family history of T2D in first- or second-degree relative
- Maternal history of diabetes or GDM during the child's gestation
- Race/ethnicity (Native American, Latino, Asian American, Pacific Islander)
- Signs of IR or conditions associated with IR

#### Signs of IR:

- Acanthosis nigricans
- Hypertension
- Dyslipidemia
- PCOS
- SGA birth weight

After the onset of puberty or after 10 years of age, whichever occurs earlier

T2D, type 2 diabetes; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; IR, insulin resistance; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; SGA, smallfor-gestational-age.

Adapted from American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes care 2020;43:S14-31.

(OGTT), or hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) is an adequate approach, but should be associated with a thorough clinical evaluation. If tests are normal, they should be repeated at a minimum of 3-year intervals, or more frequently if BMI is increasing.<sup>40)</sup> In at-risk obese children and adolescents, the presence of type 1 diabetes-associated beta-cell autoantibodies and genetic screening for monogenic diabetes should also been performed when appropriate. The diagnostic criteria for T2D are the same for young patients and adults (Table 3).<sup>40)</sup>

#### Insulin action and resistance in T2D

#### 1. Insulin signaling and IR

It is well known that insulin is a potent anabolic hormone produced by pancreatic beta-cells and its principal metabolic actions are to stimulate glucose uptake in adipocytes and skeletal muscles, inducing glycogen synthesis in skeletal muscles, inhibiting hepatic glucose output, and suppressing lipolysis in adipocytes.

Normally, the glucose transporter 2 modulates glucose secretion in hepatic tissue, whereas the insulin-sensitive glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4) regulates glucose uptake in fat and muscle.<sup>41)</sup> The insulin signaling pathway stimulates a key protein kinase Akt to achieve adequate blood glucose homeostasis.<sup>42)</sup> This Akt protein kinase is fundamental for insulin's influence on the mechanisms that regulate systemic glucose levels, including glucose concentration in adipocytes and muscles, prevention of hepatic gluconeogenesis, and induction of hepatic lipogenesis.<sup>43)</sup> Insulin signaling can also promote lipid storage in adipocytes by inducing triacylglycerol synthesis and inhibiting lipolysis. Triglycerides are stored in lipid droplets, which are composed by lipid droplet proteins such as perilipin.<sup>43)</sup> In addition, insulin plays a relevant role in vascular tissue, which can have either protective or deleterious effects. Indeed, insulin's action is strictly correlated with the production of endothelial nitric oxide synthase; however, it also promotes vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation, proinflammatory activity, and systemic vasoconstriction.44)

Table 3. Criteria for diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in youth (American Diabetes Association 2020) <sup>40)</sup>		
Criteria for diagnosis of T2D		
- Fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL (7.0 mmol/L). Fasting is defined as no caloric intake for at least 8 hr $^{*}$		
↓ OR		
- 2-hr plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL (11.1 mmol/L) during OGTT. The test should be performed as described by the WHO, using a glucose load containing the equivalent of 75-g anhydrous glucose dissolved in water* ↓ OR		
- HbA1c ≥6.5% (48 mmol/mol). The test should be performed in a laboratory using a method that is NGSP certified and standardized to the DCCT assay <sup>*</sup> ↓ OR		
- In a patient with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or hyperglycemic crisis, a random plasma glucose ≥200 mg/L (11.1 mmol/L)		

T2D, type 2 diabetes; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; WHO, World Health Organization; NGSP, National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program; DCCT, Diabetes Control and Complications Trial.

In the absence of unequivocal hyperglycemia, diagnosis requires 2 abnormal test results from the same sample or in 2 separate test samples.

IR is a state in which insulin has a subnormal biological effect. In particular, it is characterized by a reduced capacity of insulin to induce the use of glucose by muscles and adipose tissue and inhibit hepatic glucose production and output.<sup>45)</sup> IR plays a crucial role in skeletal muscles, in which it is able to reduce glycogen synthesis and protein catabolism; it also inhibits lipoprotein lipase activity in adipocytes, thus leading to elevated secretion of free fatty acids and inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-a), interleukin (IL)-6 and leptin. Moreover, it causes altered glucose output and fatty-acid metabolism and leads to elevated triglyceride concentration due to very low density lipoprotein secretion from hepatic tissue.<sup>46</sup> In addition, IR influences endothelial cell function by interfering with the production of nitric oxide and stimulating the release of pro-coagulant factors that determine platelet aggregation. IR is also a key player in the pathogenesis of metabolic diseases like T2D, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and cardiovascular disease.47)

#### 2. Methods of measurement and diagnosis of IR

IR is diagnosed frequently in children, but it is rarely measured directly in the pediatric population. The hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (HEC) is the gold standard test for the evaluation of IR in children.<sup>48)</sup> It is a direct measure of the insulin-stimulated glucose disposition correlated with a given degree of hyperinsulinemia.<sup>5)</sup> This technique has been able to recognize specific causes of IR in childhood and during adolescence. However, this test is lengthy (2–3 hours), requires expertise of operators for constant adjustment of the glucose infusion rate, and carries a risk of hyperglycemia.<sup>49,50)</sup>

Indeed, in the last year, numerous surrogate measures have been developed. Some of these methods are based on measurements of fasting blood samples, whereas others require measurements derived from an OGTT, which is frequently used, or an intravenous glucose tolerance test, which is not suitable for daily practice.<sup>51)</sup> However, their clinical use is limited because of the absence of reference values for normal and impaired insulin sensitivity; for clinical purposes, the Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), the Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index (QUICKI), and Matsuda index are usually used, while the HES, Belfiore, McAuley, Cederholm, Avignon and Stumvoll indices are suitable for epidemiological/ research purposes<sup>52)</sup> (Table 4).

Fasting plasma insulin (FPI), HOMA-IR, and QUICKI are the most common surrogate markers which correlate favorably and have been validated in childhood with the HEC.<sup>53,54)</sup> The FPI is generally used as surrogate index of insulin sensitivity and evaluates insulin concentration after a fasting period, but it represents an index of liver insulin metabolism; it evaluates only a state of hyperinsulinemia and does not correlate very well with IR in children and adolescents.<sup>55)</sup>

HOMA-IR is the most commonly used method in daily practice and evaluates IR through fasting glucose and insulin

levels. HOMA-IR was found to be much more reliable than fasting glucose/insulin ratio and QUICKI in determining IR in obese children.<sup>56)</sup> Indeed, HOMA-IR is a frequently-used parameter in clinical research.<sup>57,58)</sup>

The QUICKI index is similar to HOMA, but it is more complicated because it uses the reciprocal of the logarithm of both insulin and glucose to account for the skewed distribution of fasting insulin values. The log-transformation leads to greater accuracy than HOMA.<sup>59)</sup> Accordingly, the QUICKI and HOMA indexes are inversely related and they present the same limitations.

Furthermore, the triglyceride to high density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio (TG/HDL-C) is useful markers of IR because low triglyceride concentrations are usually associated with increased insulin sensitivity, especially in Caucasian populations.<sup>60</sup>

The McAuley Index is another surrogate index which has a higher sensitivity and similar specificity to fasting insulin alone when evaluating IR, but to date it has been used only in adults.<sup>61)</sup> Another recently proposed index is the variation of sex hormone binding globulin as a biomarker of IR, but it is not widely used.<sup>62)</sup>

Other markers of IR calculated during OGTT have been described recently by Monzillo and Hamdy<sup>63)</sup> and Matsuda and DeFronzo<sup>64</sup>; they are considered more reliable than fasting sample indices, because they are able to evaluate a postload interaction between glucose and insulin. The ISI0,120, defined as the Matsuda index, was adapted from the SI index and developed by Cederholm and Wibell.<sup>65</sup> This formula uses only the 0- and 120-minute glucose and insulin values obtained from the OGTT (excluding the 30- and 60-minute values included in the SI index) to improve precision and calculate the glucose uptake rate in peripheral tissues. However, there is no consensus yet on which method and cutoff value has more advantages in terms of reproducibility, sensitivity, specificity, and simplicity.<sup>66</sup>

Therefore, all methods have been used concurrently, which impedes comparisons of incidence and prevalence rates of IR between populations and countries as well as studies of these

Table 4. Formula of main surrogate indexes of insulin resistance in children and adolescents

	Index	Formula
	HOMA1-IR	[G0 (nmol/L) × I0 (microU/L) ]/ 22.5
	HOMA2-IR	Computer model
	QUICKI	1/(logI0 + logG0)
	ISI (matsuda)	1,000/0 Gmean imean
	McAuley	2.63–0.28 ln(I0 in mU/L)–0.31 ln (triglycerides in mmol/L)
	ISI	[1.9/6×BW (kg)×G0 (mmol/L)+520-1.9/18×BW×AUCG
		(mmol/hxl)–UG (mmol/1.8)]/[AUCI (pmol/hxl)×BW]
HOMA1-IR homeostatic model assessment in		homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance 1.

HOMA1-IR, homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance 1; HOMA2-IR, homeostatic model assessment insulin resistance 2; QUICKI, quantitative insulin-sensitivity check index; IO, fasting plasma insulin; GO, fasting plasma glucose; BW, body weight; AUCG, area under curve for glucose; UG, urinary glucose; AUCI, area under curve for insulin; GMEAN, mean glucose; IMEAN, mean insulin. rates over time.<sup>51)</sup>

#### 3. New indexes of IR

Rivera et al.<sup>67)</sup> studied alterations in circulating proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-a, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-6, and IL-10), chemokines (stromal cell-derived factor-1alpha, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 [MCP-1], eotaxin, and fractalkine) and growth factors (brain-derived neurotrophic factor, platelet-derived growth factor [PDGF-BB] and insulin-like growth factor-1 [IGF-1]) in obese children with IR and evaluated the usefulness of these as a diagnostic tool. Obesity-mediated IR determines adipose tissue inflammation, accumulation of macrophages, production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 $\beta$ .<sup>68,69)</sup> In addition, IR is associated with increased production of chemokines, such as MCP-1 and eotaxin, which are expressed in preadipocytes, as well growth factors such as PDGF-BB. Reduced circulating levels of PDGF-BB (a pro-fibrotic growth factor that plays an important role in the development of adipose tissue vascularization) was able to distinguish IR and non-IR in prepubertal children with obesity; the association of several biomarkers, such as leptin, TG/HDL, IGF-1, TNF-a, MCP1, and PDGF-BB can be considered as relevant diagnostic tools to detect IR in prepubertal children with obesity. In particular, changes in circulating concentrations of TNF-a, MCP1, and PDGF-BB were consistently correlated with IR in obese children.67)

Da Silva et al.<sup>70</sup> examined the accuracy of HOMA-Adiponectin in detecting IR based on the hyperglycemic clamp technique in adolescents. Adiponectin increases fatty-acid oxidation in muscle and liver via activation of AMP-activated protein kinase and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha mediated by a specific receptor.<sup>71</sup> The correlation between adiponectin and insulin sensitivity in adolescents has also been reported.<sup>72,73</sup>

Kang et al. studied another surrogate marker, the "triglycerides /glucose index" (TyG) calculated as ln [triglycerides (mg dL-1) ×fasting glucose (mg dL-1)/2]. This index was correlated with HOMA-IR and showed a strong positive association with TG/ HDL-C. The cutoff of the TyG index proposed for the diagnosis of IR was 8.18; other authors propose values between 3.7 and 4.5. regardless, the TyG index can be a simple, cost-effective surrogate marker of IR among adolescents compared with HOMA-IR.<sup>74-77)</sup>

## Obesity, IR, and T2D: a possible unifying mechanism

Identifying a single pathway to explain the link between obesity, IR, and T2D would be ideal. Certainly, beta-cell dysfunction, which determines impaired insulin release, plays a crucial role in the pathophysiology of T2D.<sup>78)</sup> In particular, impaired insulin output could interfere with physiological glucose uptake in adipose tissue and induce increased lipolysis and elevated nonesterified fatty-acid concentrations. Moreover, beta-cell dysfunction could also interfere with physiological insulin action in crucial brain regions, resulting in weight gain and aggravating IR.<sup>79)</sup>

IR triggers a rise in insulin need and normally stimulates beta-cell activity by increasing both beta-cell mass and insulin secretion, leading to hyperinsulinemia. However, in a vicious cycle, hyperinsulinemia can exacerbate the metabolic dysregulations that cause beta-cell failure and the development of T2D.<sup>80,81)</sup>

Accordingly, some studies in mice and in humans have induced IR by disrupting molecular insulin signaling in skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, and the liver, thus leading to hyperinsulinemia and diabetes. These studies have reported high levels of circulating insulin in enrolled subjects with consequent onset of diabetes.<sup>42,82</sup>

The possible initiating pathways that could explain the correlations between obesity, IR, and hyperinsulinemia could include the activation of the transcription factor FOXO1 in the liver<sup>83)</sup> and alteration of glucose transporter (GLUT4) translocation to the surface membrane in skeletal muscle.<sup>84)</sup> The upregulation of FOXO1 can lead to improved conversion of incoming substrates to the liver to glucose; on the contrary, reduced GLUT4 concentrations in muscle would impair glucose uptake from the circulation.<sup>85)</sup> Indeed, it was reported that in mice with obesity, FOXO1 was upregulated and these mice became insensitive to insulin regulation<sup>86</sup>; how obesity and overnutrition could interfere with FOXO1 is still not completely understood.<sup>87)</sup> Many authors have hypothesized that the molecular mechanisms described above could appear exaggerated in T2D, where beta-cells cannot produce enough insulin to compensate the upregulation of FOXO1.78)

However, other authors have speculated that hyperinsulinemia is the primary effect of a high-fat diet (HFD) and obesity, consequently stimulating beta-cells.<sup>88)</sup> According to this hypothesis hyperinsulinemia determines IR, hyperglycemia, and hyperlipidemia. In particular, a HFD and overfeeding could determine insulin release from pancreatic beta-cells, causing hyperinsulinemia.<sup>89,90</sup>

In any case, more studies are needed to evaluate the molecular mechanisms that modulate pathways which in turn may induce IR, hyperinsulinemia, and beta-cell dysfunction in T2D patients. A growing number of studies in adults<sup>91,92)</sup> have recently identified many inflammatory markers that could explain these molecular patterns. Although the role of these markers could not be confirmed in children, other inflammatory markers have been studied as potential links between IR and T2D.<sup>93)</sup> Interestingly, these results support the hypothesis that systemic inflammation is one possible molecular pathway that could link obesity, IR, and T2D in youth.

Unfortunately, none of these potential new biomarkers have proven prognostic value compared to traditional assessments, i.e., measurement of HbA1c. Therefore, their value for routine clinical purposes remains to be elucidated.

#### **Concluding remarks**

Beta-cell dysfunction and consequently insufficient insulin secretion characterize the advanced state of diabetes. IR plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of T2D, hypertension, and cardiovascular risk. Thus, understanding the molecular pathways of IR is fundamental to guide the development of future therapeutic approaches for adults and children with T2D. HFD and obesity trigger IR and strongly modulate the onset of T2D in youth. Therefore, beta-cell preservation through healthy lifestyle, proper nutrition, daily exercise, and only if necessary, therapeutic interventions, become fundamental for the treatment and prevention of T2D and its cardio-metabolic complications. Indeed, understanding the complex pathways that compose the molecular mechanisms of T2D is crucial to identify accurate and personalized therapeutic targets for treating IR and preventing T2D.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

#### References

- 1. Reaven GM. Insulin resistance: the link between obesity and cardiovascular disease. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2008;37:581-601.
- 2. Razani B, Chakravarthy MV, Semenkovich CF. Insulin resistance and atherosclerosis. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2008;37:603-21.
- 3. Chiarelli F, Marcovecchio ML. Insulin resistance and obesity in childhood. Eur J Endocrinol 2008;159:67-74.
- Volovelsky O, Weiss R. Fatty liver disease in obese children relation to other metabolic risk factors. Int J Pediatric Obes 2011;6:59-64.
- Levy-Marchal C, Arslanian S, Cutfield W, Sinaiko A, Druet C, Marcovecchio ML, et al. Insulin resistance in children: consensus, perspective, and future directions. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol 2010;95:5189-98.
- 6. Ferrannini E. Insulin resistance is central to the burden of diabetes. Diab Metab Rev 1997;13:81-6.
- Giannini C, Caprio S. Islet function in obese adolescents. Diab Obes Metabo 2012;14:40-5.
- 8. Lawrence JM, Imperatore G, Dabelea D, Mayer-Davis EJ, Linder B, Saydah S, et al. Trends in incidence of type 1 diabetes among non-Hispanic white youth in the U.S., 2002–2009. Diabetes 2014;63:3938-45.
- 9. Dabelea D, Mayer-Davis EJ, Saydah S. Prevalence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes among children and adolescents from 2001 to 2009. JAMA 2014;311:1778-86.
- 10. Liu LL, Lawrence JM, Davis C, Liese AD, Pettitt DJ, Pihoker C, et al. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in youth with diabetes in USA: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study. Pediatr Diabetes 2010;11:4-11.

- 11. Candler TP, Mahmoud O, Lynn RM, Majbar AA, Barrett TG, Shield JP. Continuing rise of type 2 diabetes incidence in children and young people in the UK. Diabet Med 2018;35:737-44.
- Schober E, Waldhoer T, Rami B, Hofer S. Austrian Diabetes Incidence Study Group: incidence and time trend of type 1 and type 2 diabetes in Austrian children 1999-2007. J Pediatr 2009;155:190-3.
- Lee JH, Kim YM, Kwak MJ, Kim SY, Kim HJ, Cheon CK. Incidence trends and associated factors of diabetes mellitus in Korean children and adolescents: a retrospective cohort study in Busan and Gyeongnam. Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2015;20:206-12.
- 14. Ye Q, Fu JF. Paediatric type 2 diabetes in China-Pandemic, progression, and potential solutions. Pediatr Diabetes 2018;19:27-35.
- 15. Sjardin N, Reed P, Albert B, Mouat F, Carter PJ, Hofman P, et al. Increasing incidence of type 2 diabetes in New Zealand children <15 years of age in a regional-based diabetes service, Auckland, New Zealand. J Paediatr Child Health 2018;54:1005-10.
- 16. Imperatore G, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Case D, Dabelea D, Hamman RF, et al. Projections of type 1 and type 2 diabetes burden in the U.S. population aged, 20 years through 2050: dynamic modeling of incidence, mortality, and population growth. Diabetes Care 2012;35:2515-20.
- 17. Pettitt DJ, Talton J, Dabelea D, Divers J, Imperatore G, Lawrence JM, et al. Prevalence of diabetes in U.S. youth in 2009: the SEARCH for diabetes in youth study. Diabetes Care 2014;37:402-8.
- Amed S, Dean HJ, Panagiotopoulos C, Sellers EA, Hadjiyannakis S, Laubscher TA, et al. Type 2 diabetes, medication induced diabetes, and monogenic diabetes in Canadian children: a prospective national surveillance study. Diabetes Care 2010;33:786-91.
- 19. Haines L, Wan KC, Lynn R, Barrett TG, Shield JP. Rising incidence of type 2 diabetes in children in the U.K. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1097-101.
- 20. Pavkov ME, Hanson RL, Knowler WC, Bennett PH, Krakoff J, Nelson RG. Changing patterns of type 2 diabetes incidence among Pima Indians. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1758-63.
- 21. Arslanian S, Bacha F, Grey M, Marcus MD, White NH, Zeitler P. Evaluation and management of youth-onset type 2 diabetes: a position statement by the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care 2018;41:2648-68.
- 22. Zeitler P, Hirs K, Pyle L, Linder B, Copeland K, Arslanian S, et al. A clinical trial to maintain glycemic control in youth with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2247-56.
- 23. Todd JN, Srinivasan S, Pollin TI. Advances in the genetics of youth-onset type 2 diabetes. Curr Diab Rep 2018;18:57.
- 24. Ashcroft FM, Rorsman P. Diabetes mellitus and the beta cell:the last ten years. Cell 2012;148:1160-71.
- 25. Dupuis J, Langenberg C, Prokopenko I, Saxena R, Soranzo N, Jackson AU, et al. New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes

risk. Nat Genet 2010;42:105-16.

- Barker A, Sharp SJ, Timpson NJ, Bouatia-Naji N, Warrington NM, Kanoni S, et al. Association of genetic Loci with glucose levels in childhood and adolescence: a metaanalysis of over 6,000 children. Diabetes 2011;60:1805-12.
- 27. Giannini C, Dalla Man C, Groop L, Cobelli C, Zhao H, Shaw MM, et al. Co-occurrence of risk alleles in or near genes modulating insulin secretion predisposes obese youth to prediabetes. Diabetes Care 2014;37:475-82.
- Cropano C, Santoro N, Groop L, Dalla Man C, Cobelli C, Galderisi A, et al. The rs7903146 variant in the TCF7L2 gene increases the risk of prediabetes/type 2 diabetes in obese adolescents by impairing β-cell function and hepatic insulin sensitivity. Diabetes Care 2017;40:1082-9.
- 29. Kahn SE, Prigeon RL, McCulloch DK, Boyko EJ, Bergman RN, Schwartz MW, et al. Quantification of the relationship between insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in human subjects. Evidence for a hyperbolic function. Diabetes 1993;42:1663-72.
- 30. Bacha F, Lee S, Gungor N, Arslanian SA. From pre-diabetes to type 2 diabetes in obese youth:pathophysiological characteristics along the spectrum of glucose dysregulation. Diabetes Care 2010;33:2225-31.
- 31. Bacha F, Gungor N, Lee S, Arslanian SA. In vivo insulin sensitivity and secretion in obese youth: what are the differences between normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance, and type 2 diabetes? Diabetes Care 2009;32:100-5.
- 32. Giannini C, Weiss R, Cali A, Bonadonna R, Santoro N, Pierpont B, et al. Evidence for early defects in insulin sensitivity and secretion before the onset of glucose dysregulation in obese youths: a longitudinal study. Diabetes 2012;61:606-14.
- 33. Cnop M, Welsh N, Jonas JC, Jorns A, Lenzen S, Eizirik DL. Mechanisms of pancreatic beta-cell death in type 1 and type 2 diabetes: many differences, few similarities. Diabetes 2005;54:S97-107.
- 34. Lenzen S. Oxidative stress: the vulnerable beta-cell. Biochem Soc Trans 2008;36:343-7.
- 35. Arslanian SA, Bacha F, Saad R, Gungor N. Family history of type 2 diabetes is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity and an impaired balance between insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in white youth. Diabetes Care 2005;28:115-9.
- 36. Ball GD, Huang TT, Gower BA, Cruz ML, Shaibi GQ, Weigensberg MJ, et al. Longitudinal changes in insulin sensitivity, insulin secretion, and beta-cell function during puberty. J Pediatr 2006;148:16-22.
- 37. Copeland KC, Zeitler P, Geffner M, Guandalini C, Higgins J, Hirst K, et al. Characteristics of adolescents and youth with recent-onset type 2 diabetes:the TODAY cohort at baseline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:159-67.
- 38. Chernausek SD, Arslanian S, Caprio S, Copeland KC, El ghormli L, Kelsey MM, et al. Relationship between parental diabetes and presentation of metabolic and glycemic function in youth with type 2 diabetes: baseline findings

from the TODAY trial. Diabetes Care 2016;39:110-7.

- 39. Dabelea D, Mayer-Davis EJ, Lamichhane AP, D'Agostino RB Jr, Liese AD, Vehik KS, et al. Association of intrauterine exposure to maternal diabetes and obesity with type 2 diabetes in youth: the SEARCH Case-Control Study. Diabetes Care 2008;31:1422-6.
- 40. American Diabetes Association. Children and adolescent: standards of medical care in Diabetes-2020. Diabetes Care 2020;43:S163-82.
- 41. Czech MP. Insulin action and resistance in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Nat Med 2017;11;23:804-14.
- 42. Boucher J, Kleinridders A, Kahn CR. Insulin receptor signaling in normal and insulin-resistant states. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2014;6:a009191.
- 43. Choi SM, Tucker DF, Gross DN, Easton RM, DiPilato LM, Dean AS, et al. Insulin regulates adipocyte lipolysis via an Akt-independent signaling pathway. Mol Cell Biol 2010;30:5009-20.
- 44. Kahn BB, Flier JS. Obesity and insulin resistance. J Clin Investig 2000;106:473-81.
- 45. Matthaei S, Stumvoll M, Kellerer M, Haring HU. Pathophysiology and pharmacological treatment of insulin resistance. Endocr Rev 2000;21:585-618.
- 46. Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature 2006;444:840-6.
- 47. Bonora E. Insulin resistance as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: clinical assessment and therapy approaches. Av Diabetol 2005;21:255-61.
- 48. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, Andres R. Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. Am J Physiol 1979;237:214-23.
- 49. Muniyappa R, Lee S, Chen H, Quon MJ. Current approaches for assessing insulin sensitivity and resistance in vivo: advantages, limitations, and appropriate usage. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2008;294:15-26.
- 50. Arslanian SA. Clamp techniques in paediatrics: what have we learned? Horm Res 2005;64:16-24.
- 51. van der Aa MP, Knibbe CA, Boer A, van der Vorst MM. Definition of insulin resistance affects prevalence rate in pediatric patients:a systematic review and call for consensus. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2017;30:123-31.
- 52. Gutch M, Kumar S, Razi SM, Gupta KK, Gupta A. Assessment of insulin sensitivity/resistance. Indian J Endocrinol Metab 2015;19:160-4.
- 53. Hwu CM. Measurements of insulin resistance in hypertension: where are we now? J Human Hypertens 2007;21:693-6.
- 54. Lee S, Muniyappa R, Yan X, Chen H, Yue LQ, Hong EG, et al. Comparison between surrogate indexes of insulin sensitivity and resistance and hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp estimates in mice. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2008;294:261-70.
- 55. Rasmussen-Torvik LJ, Pankow JS, Jacobs DR, Steffen LM, Moran AM, Steinberger J, et al. Heritability and genetic correlations of insulin sensitivity measured by the

euglycaemic clam. Diabet Med 2007;24:1286-9.

- 56. Keskin M, Kurtoglu S, Kendirci M, Atabek ME, Yazici C. Homeostasis model assessment is more reliable than the fasting glucose/insulin ratio and quantitative insulin sensitivity check index for assessing insulin resistance among obese children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2005;115:500-3.
- 57. Cutfield WS, Jefferies CA, Jackson WE, Robinson EM, Hofman PL. Evaluation of HOMA and QUICKI as measures of insulin sensitivity in prepubertal children. Pediatr Diabetes 2003;4:119-25.
- 58. Wallace TM, Matthews DR. The assessment of insulin resistance in man. Diabet Med 2002;19:527-34.
- 59. Gungor N, Saad R, Janosky J, Arslanian S. Validation of surrogate estimates of insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in children and adolescents. J Pediatr 2004;144:47-55.
- 60. Giannini C, Santoro N, Caprio S, Kim G, Lartaud D, Shaw M, et al. The triglyceride-to-HDL cholesterol ratio:association with insulin resistance in obese youths of different ethnic backgrounds. Diabetes Care 2011;34:1869-74.
- 61. McAuley KA, Williams SM, Mann JI, Walker RJ, Lewis-Barned NJ, Temple LA, et al. Diagnosing insulin resistance in the general population. Diabetes Care 2001;24:460-4.
- 62. Jayagopal V, Kilpatrick ES, Jennings PE, Holding S, Hepburn DA, Atkin SL: the biological variation of sex hormonebinding globulin in type 2 diabetes: implications for sex hormone-binding globulin as a surrogate marker of insulin resistance. Diabetes Care 2004;27:278-80.
- 63. Monzillo LU, Hamdy O. Evaluation of insulin sensitivity in clinical practice and in research settings. Nutr Rev 2003;61:397-412.
- 64. Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. Diabetes Care 1999;22:1462-70.
- 65. Cederholm J, Wibell L. Insulin release and peripheral sensitivity at the oral glucose tolerance test. Diab Res Clin Pract 1990;10:167-75.
- 66. Rossner SM, Neovius M, Mattsson A, Marcus C, Norgren S. HOMA-IR and QUICKI: decide on a general standard instead of making further comparisons. Acta Paediatr 2010;99:1735-40.
- 67. Rivera P, Martos-Moreno GA, Barrios V, Suárez J, Pavón FJ, Chowen JA, et al. A novel approach to childhood obesity: circulating chemokines and growth factors as biomarkers of insulin resistance. Pediatric Obesity 2019;14:12473.
- 68. Xu H, Barnes GT, Yang Q, Tan G, Yang D, Chou CJ, et al. Chronic inflammation in fat plays a crucial role in the development of obesity-related insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 2003;112:1821-30.
- 69. Ignacio RM, Gibbs CR, Lee ES, Son DS. Differential chemokine signature between human preadipocytes and adipocytes. Immune Netw 2016;16:189-94.
- 70. da Silva CC, Zambon MP, Vasques AC, Camilo DF,

De Bernardi Rodrigues AM, Antonio MÂRGM, et al. Homeostatic model assessment of adiponectin (HOMA-Adiponectin) as a surrogate measure of insulin resistance in adolescents: comparison with the hyperglycaemic clamp and homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance. PLoS One 2019;14:e0214081.

- 71. Yadav A, Kataria MA, Saini V, Yadav A. Role of leptin and adiponectin in insulin resistance. Clin Chim Acta 2013;417:80-4.
- 72. Lee S, Bacha F, Gungor N, Arslanian SA. Racial differences in adiponectin in youth: relationship to visceral fat and insulin sensitivity. Diabetes Care 2006;29:51-6.
- 73. Weiss R, Dufour S, Groszmann A, Petersen K, Dziura J, Taksali SE, et al. Low adiponectin levels in adolescent obesity: a marker of increased intramyocellular lipid accumulation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2003;88:2014-8.
- 74. Vieira-Ribeiro SA, Fonseca PC, Andreoli CS, Ribeiro AQ, Herms- dorff HH, Pereira PF, et al. The TyG index cutoff point and its association with body adiposity and lifestyle in children. J Pediatr 2019;95:217-23.
- 75. Guerrero-Romero F, Villalobos-Molina R, Jiménez-Flores, Simental-Mendía LE, Méndez-Cruz R, Murguía-Romero M, et al. Fasting triglycerides and glucose index as a diagnostic test for insulin resistance in young adults. Arch Med Res 2016;47:382-7.
- 76. Kang B, Yang Y, Lee EY, Yang HK, Kim HS, Lim SY, et al. Triglycerides/glucose index is a useful surrogate marker of insulin resistance among adolescents. Int J Obes 2017;41:789-92.
- 77. Moon S, Park JS, Ahn Y. The cut-off values of triglycerides and glucose index for metabolic syndrome in American and Korean adolescents. J Korean Med Sci 2017;32:427-33.
- 78. Cerf ME. Beta cell dysfunction and insulin resistance. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2013;4:37.
- 79. Ono H. Molecular mechanisms of hypothalamic insulin resistance. Int J Mol Sci 2019;15:1317.
- 80. Chiasson JL, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Prevention of type 2 diabetes: insulin resistance and beta-cell function. Diabetes 2004;53:S34–8.
- 81. Shanik MH, Xu Y, Skrha J, Dankner R, Zick Y, Roth J. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia: is hyperinsulinemia the cart or the horse? Diabetes Care 2008;31:S262-8.
- 82. Parker VE, Savage DB, O'Rahilly S, Semple RK. Mechanistic insights into insulin resistance in the genetic era. Diabet Med 2011;28;1476–86.
- 83. Klotz LO, Sánchez-Ramos C, Prieto-Arroyo I, Urbánek P, Steinbrenner H, Monsalve M, et al. Redox regulation of FoxO transcription factors. Redox Biol 2015;6:51-72.
- 84. Ryder JW, Gilbert M, Zierath JR. Skeletal muscle and insulin sensitivity: pathophysiological alterations. Front Biosci 2001;6:D154-63.
- 85. Gross DN, van den Heuvel AP, Birnbaum MJ. The role of FoxO in the regulation of metabolism. Oncogene 2008;27:2320-36.
- 86. Titchenell PM, Quinn WJ, Lu M, Chu Q, Lu W, Li C, et al. Direct hepatocyte insulin signaling is required for

lipogenesis but is dispensable for the suppression of glucose production. Cell Metab 2016;23:1154-66.

- 87. Banks AS, Kim-Muller JY, Mastracci TL, Kofler NM, Qiang L, Haeusler RA, et al. Dissociation of the glucose and lipid regulatory functions of FoxO1 by targeted knockin of acetylation-defective alleles in mice. Cell Metab 2011;14:587-97.
- 88. Corkey BE. Banting lecture 2011: hyperinsulinemia: cause or consequence? Diabetes 2012;61:4-13.
- 89. Corkey BE. Diabetes: have we got it all wrong? Insulin hypersecretion and food additives: cause of obesity and diabetes? Diabetes Care 2012;35:2432-7.
- 90. Kim MK, Reaven GM, Chen YD, Kim E, Kim SH. Hyperinsulinemia in individuals with obesity: role of insulin clearance. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2015;23:2430-4.
- 91. Agrawal NK, Kant S. Targeting inflammation in diabetes: newer therapeutic options. World J Diabetes 2014;5:697-710.
- 92. Wang X, Bao W, Liu J, Ouyang YY, Wang D, Rong S, et al. Inflammatory markers and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 2013;36:166-75.
- 93. Reinehr T. Inflammatory markers in children and adolescents with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Chim Acta 2019;496:100-7.